37

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) 3948 of 2007

Petitioner		A.K. Mishra, S/o. Late Dulare Lal Mishra, aged 54 years, S.D.O. Forest Durg, Division Durg, Dist. Durg, CG.
		Versus
Respondents	1)	State of Chhattisgarh through Chief Secretary, State of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, CG.
	2)	Secretary (Forest), Department of Forest, State of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur, CG.
	3)	Conservator of Forest, Durg, Durg Circle, Dist. Durg, CG.
	4)	Addl. Chief Conservator of Forest (Administration) Department of Forest, State of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, CG.
	5)	The Collector, Durg, Dist. Durg, CG.
	6)	Shri R.P. Sahu, Dept. Managing Director, District Union Durg, Dist. Durg, CG.

(Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present:

Shri Avinash Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.
Shri Kasif Shekeel, counsel for the Caveator/respondent.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 11th July, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as Sub Divisional Officer, Forest Division, Durg. Vide order dated

38

29-6-2007 (Annexure P/1), the petitioner has been transferred to the post of Sub Divisional Officer (Forest) South Singpur, Division Dhamtari. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, there was no post of Sub Divisional Officer in Forest Circle Dhamtari.

2) Learned counsel appearing for the Caveator/respondent No.6 has produced a copy of the subsequent order dated 7-7-2007 (Annexure C/1), whereby the posting of the petitioner has been amended and he has now been posted as Sub Divisional Officer (Forest), in the office of the Conservator of Forest, Circle Durg. The petitioner was working earlier also in the Forest Circle Durg. By amended order he has been posted back in the Forest Circle Durg.

3) The petitioner has not raised any other point to invoke extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court to interfere with the impugned order.

4) In view of the subsequent order dated 7-7-2007 (Annexure C/1), which is taken on record, the grievance of the petitioner does not survive. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

raju